

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
06/11/2001		Stanley John Becker	608-297	7974	
7590 01/17/2007			EXAM	EXAMINER	
	•	· D	<del>(1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1</del>		
ARLINGTON, VA 22203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	06/1 7590 ANDERH GLEBE RO	7590 01/17/2007 ANDERHYE, PC GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOO	06/11/2001 Stanley John Becker 7590 01/17/2007 ANDERHYE, PC GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR	06/11/2001       Stanley John Becker       608-297         7590       01/17/2007       EXAM         ANDERHYE, PC       GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR	

DATE MAILED: 01/17/2007

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

/
---

## Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37) Examiner Jennifer Leung Application No. 09/877,249 BECKER ET AL. Art Unit 1764 --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on <u>28 November 2006</u> is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file anamended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 1205.03) within **ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS** from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer. **EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.** 

heading or in the proper order.

The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper

2. 🛛	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).
3. 🗌	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).
4.	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).
5. 🗌	The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))
6. 🛚	The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).
7.	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).
8.	The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner <b>and relied upon by appellant in the appeal</b> , along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).
9. 🔲	The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR $41.37(c)(1)(x)$ ).
10.	Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):
	1.)The argument section of the brief must present each ground of rejection under a separate heading. Any ground of rejection applying to two or more claims the claims may be argued separately or as a group. Claims argued separately

TIM COLE
PATENT APPEAL CENTER SPECIALIST
Timothy Cole

should be placed under a subheading identifying the claims by number.